Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/499,025	STARK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B Corrielus	2637
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jean B Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gary Williams.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 February 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-4, 9, 13 and 21 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above noted claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to avoid a possible art rejection using applicant background of the invention and to correct for some minor informalities in order to advance prosecution.